

Safeguarding the Elite Young Athlete

Background

The Child Protection in Sport Unit (CPSU) has been working with sports governing bodies and county sports partnerships (England only) for a number of years to support them to implement safeguarding policy, procedures and processes. This is to ensure more and more young people are able to play sport in a safe enjoyable environment. All young people have the right to participate in sport in a safe environment which promotes their welfare - regardless of whether their involvement in sport is at a recreational or elite level.

No young person starts off as an elite athlete; they must grow in experience, skill and confidence with the support of their coach and others. Many sports organisations already ensure that the majority of young people involved in their sport only participate in age appropriate levels of competition with correct safeguards in place but there remain specific concerns around the treatment of elite young sports people.

Purpose of briefing paper

This briefing paper has been developed in response to a number of enquiries to the CPSU focusing on the experiences of elite level young athletes in sport, and in response to findings from research. The paper is designed to assist governing bodies, coaches and parents to consider the impact and pressure being placed on young elite athletes and what is acceptable practice within their sport. For many young people, reaching a representative level has been their focus for a number of years, and they will have trained hard to achieve this. Some parents will also have made a significant contribution and often considerable sacrifices to support their child's progress and success.

Physical and Emotional Impact on Elite Young Athletes of the: Sporting Environment

Jon Oliver (2010) emphasises that training routines should consider the development of the whole young person to maximise their development and potential. Oliver points out that, although the importance of the physical and technical factors will vary depending on the sport, too much emphasis on the conditioning of a child may have a negative impact on their social and physiological development. Within the competitive sport model, too much early pressure on competition can lead to **burn-out** and withdrawal from sport among children.

A number of researchers over the years have highlighted the particular vulnerability of those young people who are participating in elite level sport, and the justifications behind the strenuous training environment these young people may have to experience without any consideration to the negative impact it may be having on the child's development.

Potentially abusive situations can arise when a high performance coach develops training programmes and attendance at competition schedules that are focused on the goals of the sport to achieve success rather than the needs of the young person. An example of this may be a training schedule that requires travelling long distances to training centres four times a week either after or before school, then having to represent the young person's county or region at competitions every weekend; or being forced to play above their age band. These demands on the talents and time of a young person can have negative consequences on



their ability to socialise with those outside the sports world and denial of other peer opportunities and friendships.

A young athlete competing in too many games/tournaments that are close together or being exposed to excessive training requirements can be at a physical risk because of a shortened recovery time. Another concerning issue is that on occasions young athletes are required to operate in a more **adult focused environment**. We must start to question are these demands fair on the young person or their parents and other siblings as this sort of commitment must have an impact on the whole family.

Ethos

With all the efforts that sport has put into maximising participation and quality coaching for grassroots clubs we need to consider whether young people at the elite level are being afforded the same level of consideration as other participants; or are required to accept poor or possibly exploitative practices because they have the most to lose if they complain.

Although there have been great strides made in challenging the **'no pain no gain'** culture within sport, when it comes to elite sport this view point still appears to persist and the CPSU believe that this needs to be challenged as being detrimental to the welfare of young athletes. Cook and Cole (2001) explained that when the goal of **winning at all costs** overshadows other reasons for participating in sport, the participant is lost and the child quickly becomes an instrument of status to be trained and disciplined to fulfil a particular role. Once this shift in identity occurs, the athlete is no longer viewed as an individual with personal needs and rights, but rather as a tool to be used in the pursuit of sporting success, thus placing the athlete in a position of vulnerability to abuse.

Parents and even coaches have contacted the CPSU to express their concern about the overuse of training, or the lack of opportunity to recover from injury, that can result from a culture that has evolved within elite sport that pushes a child and often their family to the limits under the justification that *"that's just what you have to do if you want to succeed in sport"*. Pike refers to this as a pressure to conform to a **"culture of risk"** in sports which compromises the wellbeing of young athletes. This requires the young person and their parents to conform to the expectations without questioning the impact it may have on them as an individual.

Stirling and Kerr (2009) in their research data revealed that it was not uncommon for athletes to be afraid of their coach. They expressed fear in two respects; one was a sense of being intimidated by the coach and the second was a fear of losing their athletic career should they report their coaches' abusive practices. Fear is reflected in the following statements: *'I remember being afraid of her (my coach) right from the beginning... I was scared of her'*, and *'Whatever the coach said, whatever they told me to do was gold, and I was afraid to not do it'*

One athlete in the same research also indicated that even when parents intervened the response was not positive, *'Every parent tried at one time or another to talk to her (coach) about her anger and name calling, but it never made a difference. I think she scared them too... And then after the parent talked to her the athlete would get teased or chastised by the coach.'*



Unhealthy Coach- Athlete Relationships

Rhind (2010) argues that due to the culture of sport, an authority system may be created which facilitates power, obedience and potentially the rationalisation of abuse which can be more prevalent at the elite level. This abuse can take the form of unhealthy or even illegal sexual contact (see CPSU briefing on Abuse of Position of Trust for more details). Elite athletes spend a significant amount of time alone with their coach who is often involved in other areas of the athlete's life as well. One athlete in Stirling and Kerr's research suggested that coaches shouldn't get so close to the athlete that they 'cross the line'. Specific behaviours of a coach described 'as crossing the line' included social outings (movies, barbeques, time in hot tubs), hugs, kisses, texts/phone calls and highly personal conversations that were irrelevant to the athlete's participation in sport.

There have been a significant number of people convicted of child sexual abuse who were in positions of responsibility in relation to youth sport. Prosecutions for abuse included individuals from a wide range of sports. The cases below are examples of situations where prosecutions have been successful and are illustrative of the kinds of concerns being brought to CPSU by Sports Governing Bodies:

- A former Olympic coach was convicted of sexually abusing a 15 year old female he was training. The jury heard evidence that the sexual abuse was carried out under the pretence of being part of the young person's training regime.
- A coach who assaulted two promising 15 year old female players was jailed for five and a half years. At his trial, evidence was given that the abuse took place over a two year period. On one occasion the coach told his victim "I can't wait until you are legal. I can't wait until you are sixteen" In passing sentence the judge said: "you were in a position of trust as a coach and used that opportunity to commit these offences against these girls....you were trusted by them, you were trusted by their parents. You controlled her (the victim) by using her ambitions as a means of exercising that control". His behaviour was described as "controlling, grooming and predatory".

Given the legal age of consent for sexual activity is 16 years, not all cases can or do lead to a criminal prosecution. Many require the sports governing body to respond to concerns that (though not necessarily illegal) nevertheless breach their own internal code of conduct that identify any potential abuse of a position of trust as a disciplinary matter. For example:

- A National Governing Body received complaints against the national coach in his late twenties by members of an under 21 national squad. They alleged the coach was exploiting his position by having sexual relationships with team members. When challenged by the sport, the coach admitted this and internal disciplinary action was taken. He was not prosecuted however as all those involved were over 16 and under 18, and therefore legally able to consent.

Conclusions

Stirling and Kerr argue that the coach has immense power over the athlete, power that often transcends to other areas of an athlete's life such as academics, social life and diet. Sports governing bodies in particular need to understand this power imbalance and have robust systems in place to respond to abusive practices and enforce standards of behaviour for coaches of elite athletes as they would for other coaches.



Margo Mountjoy (2010) from the International Olympic Committee Medical Commission emphasises that elite child athletes deserve to train and compete in pleasurable and suitable environments with the need for injury and illness surveillance programmes, and the monitoring of volume and intensity of training and competition. The challenge for sports governing bodies would firstly be to establish these as basic principles for all elite athletes and then secondly consider how they could implement such recommendations and ensure they are enforced.

The following are issues that sports governing bodies may wish to consider:

- Sports codes of conduct should be reviewed to include wording referencing the sports view on a person in authority abusing their position of trust. Suggested wording may be:
“Coaches should ensure they maintain healthy, positive and professional relationships with all athletes. Coaches and others in positions of authority and trust in relation to athletes aged 16 and 17 years must not engage in sexual relationships with them while that unequal power relationship exists.”
- Governing bodies should consider the emotional, social and physical impact of the training requirements set for their elite young athletes. Particularly those programmes link to athlete funding.

At the elite level a young person’s commitment is expected and assumed, but in situations where this operates within an established “culture of risk” such commitment can be abused physically, sexually or emotionally. Adults around that young person must ensure suitable boundaries are maintained and dangerous practices removed from the sport.

References

- Brackenridge, C. (2010), in Elite Child Athlete Welfare, Brunel University Press Chapter 1 pg 13-20
Cook, D. T. and Cole, C. L. (2001) 'Kids and sport', Journal of Sport and Social Issues, 25(3): 227-228.
Mountjoy, M. (2010), in Elite Child Athlete Welfare, Brunel University Press Chapter 3, pg 25-33
Oliver, J. (2010), in Elite Child Athlete Welfare, Brunel University Press Chapter 4, pg 34-40
Pike, E. (2010), in Elite Child Athlete Welfare, Brunel University Press Chapter 6, pg 51-58
Pooley, J. C. (1986) 'A level above competition: An inclusive model for youth sport', in: M. Weiss and D. Gould (Eds.) The 1984 Olympic Scientific Congress Proceedings Volume 10: Sport for children and youth. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics, pp. 187-195.
Rhind, D. (2010), in Elite Child Athlete Welfare, Brunel University Press Chapter 12, pg 101-108
Stirling Ashley and Kerr Gretchen (2009) 'Abused athletes' perceptions of the coach-athlete relationship' in Sportin Society Vol. 12 No. 2 227-239

For further research on Elite Child Athlete Welfare please visit;

<http://www.brunel.ac.uk/about/acad/sse/sseres/sseresearchcentres/youthsport/elitechildathletebook>

Sign up now to the CPSU E-Newsletter - We can email you the latest information about child protection in sport, simply complete the [online registration form](#).

(Feb 2011)

